

This document is classified as **White** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Information can be shared with the public, and any members may publish the information, subject to copyright.

MP209 ‘Lifetime CPA Certificates’ Conclusions Report – version 0.1

About this document

This document summarises the responses received to the Modification Report Consultation regarding approval or rejection of this modification.

Summary of conclusions

Modification Report Consultation

SECAS received six responses to the Modification Report Consultation. They all believed that the modification should be approved. They considered the modification better facilitated SEC Objectives (a)¹ and (f)².

¹ Facilitate the efficient provision, installation, and operation, as well as interoperability, of Smart Metering Systems at Energy Consumers' premises within Great Britain

² to ensure the protection of Data and the security of Data and Systems in the operation of this Code

Modification Report Consultation responses

Summary of responses

The respondents (five Large Suppliers and a Network Party) all believed that the modification should be approved.

They agreed that this modification provided an efficient process that supports the continuation of Devices on the Central Products List (CPL) and would reduce the likelihood of unnecessary removal of Devices.

One respondent (a Large Supplier) noted that the supporting guidance being drafted as an annex to the National Cyber Security Centre's (NCSC) document 'Process for Performing Foundation Grade Evaluations (PPFGE)' must be adequate and clear for manufacturers. The Proposer has confirmed that the annex to the PPFGE has been drafted and circulated to the Security Sub-Committee (SSC) and the SSC Commercial Product Assurance Issue Resolution Sub-group (SCIRS) on 8 July, enabling Manufacturers to provide input. The initial recertifications will act as pilots, enabling this document to be updated based on lessons learned from these.

The same respondent also noted that the SSC would need to give clear timescales should any Devices need to be withdrawn if a Device does not get a renewal. The removal of Devices would be up to the discretion of the SSC in line with SEC Appendix Z, section 6. The SSC would set out a clear timescale for the removal of Devices, if required.

Another respondent (a Large Supplier) also noted that the identification in the CPL should be confirmed in advance of the modification. The SSC has agreed that the 'CPA Certificate Identification Number' (currently column L) will be prefixed with an [E] or [R] to represent whether the Device has an expiry date or renewal date and considered that the same approach using a [T] prefix could be used for a Trial Device (if MP172 is approved).